Downloa

(/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)

Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 😽 | Language 🐳

Save a backup on your local computer (disable if you are using a public/shared computer)

Public stakeholder consultation on the Euratom Research and Training Programme Fields marked with * are mandatory. Fields marked with * are mandatory.

The Euratom Research and Training Programme 2014-2018 (https://ec.europa.eu/programmes/horizon2020/en/h2020-section/euratom) is the European programme for funding research and training in nuclear safety, radiation protection, waste management and fusion. The Euratom Programme aims at the continuous improvement of nuclear safety and the management of radioactive waste, and advances our understanding of the effects of ionising radiation on humans and the environment. The Euratom Programme contributes also to the optimisation of the use of radiation in medical applications. Fusion research supported by the Euratom Programme aims at implementing the roadmap to the realisation of fusion electricity by 2050.

We invite everyone, and in particular research stakeholders, to take part in this consultation in the context of the interim evaluation of Euratom Programme, and to have a say on the Euratom research and training activities. The results of this consultation will help us to improve the implementation of the Euratom Programme and will feed into the preparations of the proposal for the extension of this Programme for 2019-2020.

This questionnaire will take around 20 minutes to respond. At the end of the questionnaire there is an opportunity to upload a position paper.

Part A - About

you

Part A consists questions about the respondent. We would like to know who our respondents are in order to better understand their perspective, expectations and needs in terms of research and innovation. It will also help us to tailor this survey to respondents' experiences with the Euratom Research and Training Programme.

* 1. I am

responding

- O As an individual
- On behalf of a single institution/ company
- O On behalf of an "umbrella" organisation of EU interest

*lf

- organisation:
- Regulatory body
- Technical Support Organisation (TSO)
- UWaste management authority
- UWaste management operator
- Emergency preparedness
- Higher education institution
- Nuclear power plants operator
- Public research organisation
- Private research organisation
- Decommissioning
- Business
- Medical association
- National administration
- Regional/ local administration
- 🗖 NGO
- C Other

* Is your company

- an SME?
- No
- O Yes
- O Not applicable

* 2. Is your

organisation registered in the Transparency Register?

your organisation is not registered to reply to the consultation. Why Language the solution of registered to reply to the consultation. Why Login (reuservey/auth/login/runner) although it is not compulsory to be registered to reply to the consultation. Why Login (reuservey/auth/login/runner) | Help •• | Language •• Transparency Register (http://ec.europa.eu/transparencyregister/public/staticPage/displayStaticPage.do?locale=en&reference=WHY_TRANSPARENCY_REGISTER)? O No

O Yes

*3. You are from: O Austria O Belgium O Bulgaria O Croatia O Cyprus O Czech Republic O Denmark O Estonia O Finland O France O Germany O Greece O Hungary O Ireland O Italy O Latvia O Lithuania O Luxembourg O Malta Netherlands O Poland O Portugal O Romania O Slovak Republic O Slovenia O Spain O Sweden O United Kingdom O Albania O Bosnia and Herzegovina O Faroe Islands O Former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia O Georgia O Iceland O Israel O Moldova O Montenegro O Norway O Serbia O Switzerland O Tunisia O Turkey O Ukraine O Other 4. Information about the respondent: * First name Γ This field is required

*Last
name
This field is required
*Email
address

This field is required

Minis	e reply N/A if respon try of Economic Affairs	-	-			Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) Help 🗤 Langua
5 You	r contribution					
Note	Contribution					
	never option chosen, your a cess to documents under R		t to a request for			
	049/2001 (http://www.europ		/PDF/r1049_en.pdf)			
🗆 c:	n be published with	your personal i	nformation (I consent to the	publication of all	the information in	my contribution in whole or in part
	• •			ing within my rea	sponse in unlawful	l or would infringe the rights of any third
	arty in a manner that In be published pro			t to the publication	on of any informati	ion in my contribution in whole or in part
(W	hich may include que	tes or opinions I	express) provided that it is do	ne anonymously	. I declare that not	thing within my response is unlawful or
W	ould infringe the right	s of any third party	y in a manner that would prev	ent the publicati	on)	
δ. Ha∖	e you					
or you	r organisation appl	-	nder the current and/or any J. H2020, FP7, FP6)?	previous		
No	-	or research (e.g	. 112020, 1 7 7, 1 7 0):			
O Ye						
			funding under the current nes for Research (e.g H2020), FP7,		
FP6)?						
🗹 N						
□ Ye	es					
8. Wh:	at aspect of nuclear	research are you	u involved			
in?	•					
OR	esearch in nuclear sy	stem and safety, i	including fuel cycle/ partitionir	ng & transmutation	on	
			nd management of radioactiv	e waste		
	esearch in radiation p esearch in fusion	rotection				
-	ake up/ use of nuclea	research's result	s			
-	egulatory/ public polic					
OE	ducation & training					
00						
O N	o involvement					
Are						
		n an end-user* o	f the results of Euratom			
Progra End	mme research?					
	efined as 'a person or an or	anisation that currently	y uses or			
will even research	tually use a particular produ ,	ct/output of fission or fu	usion			
	Yes, to a great e	tent	Yes, to some extent	Rarely	Not at all	
						_
**	C)	0	0	۲	
	pecify in what way					
(option	al):					
J						
۰ DT 1	Vour oninior	the oursest E.	ratom Research and Trair	ning		

In part B, we would like to know whether the Euratom Programme's priorities and forms of funding respond to current research challenges as well as to the needs and expectations of stakeholders. Some questions in this part are addressed to participants in Euratom projects and focus on different aspects of the Programme's implementation. This part also takes a closer look at the costs and benefits of participation in the Euratom Programme as well as at the EU added value of the Programme.

(/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)

Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 🗤 | Language 🗤

10. Please indicate the extent to which you

agree or disagree that Euratom

Programme's (http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=celex%3A32013R1314) objectives are still relevant:

	l strongly disagree	l disagree	l am neutral	l agree	l strongly agree	l do not know
*Supports safety of existing nuclear systems	0	0	0	0	۲	0
*Supports safety of more advanced nuclear systems	0	0	0	0	۲	0
*Contributes to the development of safe solutions for the final disposal of nuclear waste	0	0	0	0	۲	0
*Contributes to the development of safe solutions for the storage of nuclear waste	0	0	0	ο	۲	0
*Contributes to the development of safe solutions for the partitioning and transmutation of nuclear waste	0	0	۲	ο	0	0
*Supports training activities to maintain high level of nuclear competence in Europe	0	ο	0	۲	0	0
*Supports low dose radiation research	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Supports the development of radiation protection aspects of medical applications	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Supports supply of radioisotopes	0	0	0	0	۲	0
*Lays the foundations for future fusion power plants (e.g. by developing materials, technologies and conceptual design)	0	ο	۲	ο	0	0
*Moves towards demonstration of feasibility of fusion as a power source	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*Promotes innovation	0	0	0	0	۲	0
*Promotes industrial competitiveness	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Ensures availability of research infrastructures of pan- European relevance	0	0	0	۲	0	0

11. Is the current Euratom Programme making

progress towards delivering its objectives in the following

areas?

	I strongly disagree	I disagree	I am neutral	l agree	I strongly agree	I don't know
*Nuclear safety	0	0	0	0	۲	0
*Waste management	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Radiation protection	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Fusion research	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Education and Training	0	0	0	۲	0	0

12. Please indicate the extent to which you

agree or disagree that the Euratom Programme has played an adequate role in:

	l strongly disagree	l disagree	l am neutral	l agree	l strongly agree	l don't know
*Positionning Europe as a leader in radiation protection	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Positionning Europe as a leader in nuclear waste management	0	0	ο	۲	0	0
*Positionning Europe as a leader in nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲	0	0

*Positioning Elifebrus version of the source of the second s	All public surgeys (/eusu	rvey/h oy ne/pu	blicsur yg ys/runr	ner) 💿	0	0	
			Log	in (/eusurv	ey/auth/login/runner	r) Help 🗤	Language 🗤

13. Are the forms of funding provided through Euratom Programme relevant to your needs?

	I strongly disagree	l disagree	Neutral	l agree	I strongly agree	I don't know
*Grants for research and innovation actions	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Grants for coordination and support actions	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Recognition Prizes (for example SOFT prize in fusion)	0	0	0	0	0	۲
*Financial instruments (InnovFin)	0	0	0	0	0	۲
*Co-fund instrument for European Joint Programmes	0	0	0	0	0	۲

14. How would you rate the overall added

value of the Euratom Programme compared to what could be achieved by Member States at national and/or regional levels for nuclear research and innovation?

	Very low	Low	Average	High	Very high	I don't know
**	0	0	0	۲	0	0

15. Please indicate the extent to which you

agree or disagree that the Euratom Programme brings added value

•						
	l strongly disagree	l disagree	l am neutral	l agree	l strongly agree	l don't know
*Mobilising wider pool of high level multi-disciplinary competencies than is available at national level	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Improving knowledge sharing and information dissemination	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Achieving objectives at lower overall costs	0	0	0	0	0	۲
*Having a leverage effect on public investment	0	0	0	0	0	۲
*Having a leverage effect on private investment	0	0	0	0	0	۲
*Undertaking programmes beyond the reach of individual Member States enabling reaching objectives that could no otherwise be achieved	0	0	0	۲	0	0

Please specify in what way

(optional):

bv:

16.

Do you agree that the Euratom Program is coherent with other EU policies?

	I strongly disagree	I disagree	I am neutral	l agree	I strongly agree	l don't know
**	0	0	0	۲	0	0

Please specify in what way

(optional):

17. Do you see consequences of discontinuing the Euratom

Programme?

*

- No
- O Yes

O I don't know

What would be the conservery welcome/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner) of discontinuing the Euratom Programme? Please reflect first on the possible Login (/

negative impacts and thereafter on possible positive impacts of Euratom Programme ceasing. Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 🗤 | Language 🗤

	l strongly disagree	l disagree	l am neutral	I strongly agree	l agree	l don't know
*It would have negative impacts on research activities carried out by my organisation	0	0	0	0	0	۲
*It would have negative impact on the nuclear safety of existing nuclear systems in Europe	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on the nuclear safety of more advanced nuclear systems in Europe	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on the radiation protection in Europe	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on further development of radiation protection aspects of medical applications of radiation in EU	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on further development of the supply and use of radioisotopes	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on further development of safe solutions for the final disposal of nuclear waste	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on further development of safe solutions for the storage of nuclear waste	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on further development of safe solutions for the partitioning and transmutation of nuclear waste	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*It would have negative impact on the nuclear education & training	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*It would have negative impact on EU position in fusion RTD	0	0	0	0	۲	0

Other negative impact(s)? (Please specify,

optional)

lt

would have positive impact(s): (Please specify, optional)

Other

comments: (optional)

18.

Please rate the following implementation aspects of the Euratom

Programme:	
------------	--

	Very poor	Poor	Acceptable	Good	Very good	l don't know
*Balance between new research stakeholders and established organisations	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Contents of the calls for proposals	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Clarity in the text presenting the calls for proposals	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*The frequency of calls for proposals	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*The balance in calls between small and large projects	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*The acceptance of the organisations usual accounting practices	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*The balance between control and trust of beneficiaries	0	0	0	۲	0	0

*Communication All public surveys (/eusurvey/h	ome/egblics	surv ey s/r	^{runner)} O	۲	0	0	
*The time taken to evaluate and select proposals	0	0	Login (/eusurve O	y/auth/log	in/runner) O	Help I O	anguage
*Quality of the feedback received by participants in the evaluation process	0	0	0	۲	0	0	-
*The time taken to establish contracts and launch projects	0	0	0	۲	0	0	
*The support of the EC services during grant preparation and implementation	0	0	0	۲	0	0	
*The time taken to issue payments to grant holders	0	0	0	۲	0	0	
*The procedures for project monitoring and reporting	0	0	0	۲	0	0	
*Actions helping to close the research and innovation gap in Europe, in particular concerning Member States which acceeded the EU and Euratom in 2004 or later	0	0	0	۲	0	0	

* 19. Do the

benefits of participating in the Euratom project exceed the costs of participation?

O Benefits strongly outweigh costs

Benefits slightly outweigh costs

O Benefits equal costs

O Costs slightly outweigh benefits

O Costs strongly outweigh benefits

O I don't know

20. Regarding the international cooperation

in Euratom Programme, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree

with the following statement:

	l strongly disagree	l disagree	l am neutral	l agree	l strongly agree	l don't know
*Participation of research entities from non-EU countries brings added value to the Euratom Programme	ο	ο	۲	0	0	0
*Participation of research entities from non-EU countries poses threat to the Euratom Programme	0	۲	0	0	0	0

What added values non-EU countries' participation

bring to Euratom Programme? Please specify (optional):

What

threat non-EU countries' participation pose to Euratom Programme? *Please* specify (optional):

*

21. Do you agree that a new organisation of fusion

research (research roadmap implemented by the EUROfusion consortium https://www.euro-fusion.org/ (https://www.euro-fusion.org/))

is an improvement compared to the past?

O No

O Yes

I don't know

Comments (optional):

22. Should education and training activities be supported by Euratom Programme?

O No	(/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner)	All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)
Yes	(Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) Help 🗤 Language 🗤
O I don't kno	w	

Regarding education and

training activities supported by the Euratom Programme, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statement:

	l strongly	l	No	l strongly	l don't
	disagree	disagree	opinion	agree	know
*Education and training activities are sufficiently supported by the Euratom Programme 2014-18	0	0	0	۲	0

Comments (optional):

PART C - Your views on the future Euratom Research and

Training Programmes

Part C gives the respondents an opportunity to express their views on the future Euratom Research and Training Programmes.

* 23.

Do you agree that the current Euratom Programme's objectives should be continued in the next Euratom Programme?

O No

Yes

O I don't know

Please indicate the

extent to which you agree or disagree that the Euratom Programme 2019-2020 objectives still should:

	l strongly disagree	l disagree	Neutral	l agree	l strongly agree	l don't know
*Support safety of existing nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Support safety of more advanced nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Contribute to the development of safe solutions for final disposal of nuclear waste	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Contribute to the development of safe solutions for the storage of nuclear waste	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Contribute to the development of safe solutions for the partitioning and transmutation of nuclear waste	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*Supports training activities to maintain high level of nuclear competence in Europe	0	0	ο	۲	0	0
*Support low dose radiation research	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Support development of radiation protection aspects of the medical applications	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Support supply of radioisotopes	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Move towards demonstration of feasibility of fusion as a power source	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*Lay the foundations for future fusion power plants (e.g. by developing materials, technologies and conceptual design)	0	0	۲	0	0	0
*Promote innovation	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Promote industrial competitiveness	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Ensure availability of research infrastructures of pan-European relevance	0	0	0	۲	0	0

Comments (optional):

(/eusurvey/home/welcome/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner)

Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 🗤 | Language 🗤

ł

24. Are you aware of the joint programming initiatives developed within the fission part of the Euratom Programme?

- O No
- Yes
- O I don't know

Please indicate the

extent to which you agree or disagree that joint programming* initiatives should be implemented in the following research fields:

*Through

joint programmes, the Euratom Programme already supports joint programmes in

Radiation Protection and fusion research (EUROfusion)

	I strongly disagree	I disagree	Neutral	l agree	I strongly agree	I do not know
*Nuclear safety of existing nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Nuclear safety of more advanced nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Nuclear Waste management	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Materials of nuclear relevance	0	0	0	۲	0	0

Others, please specify

(optional):

25.

In your view, Euratom's support for education and training actions in the nuclear field:

	ls crucial	Is important but it should be better addressed at member states level	Is important but it should be better addressed by industry	No opinion
*Nuclear safety of existing nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲
*Nuclear safety of more advanced nuclear systems	0	0	0	۲
*Nuclear security	0	0	0	۲
*Radiation protection	0	0	0	۲
*Waste management	0	0	0	۲
*Nuclear fusion	0	0	0	۲

26. Regarding education and training in

nuclear field, please indicate the extent to which you agree or disagree with the following statements:

	l strongly disagree	l disagree	Neutral	l agree	I strongly agree	l do not know
*Euratom supports mobility of researchers enough	0	0	0	۲	0	0
*Euratom should offer grants for access to nuclear infrastructure of the JRC sites	ο	0	0	۲	ο	0

Comments, notably comments on obstacles

for mobility (optional):

PART D - Additional comments

27. Please add any/comments/your may wish he/runner) All public surveys (/eusurvey/home/publicsurveys/runner) which has not been covered by previous parts of this questionnaire

(limit 1000 words)

Login (/eusurvey/auth/login/runner) | Help 🗤 | Language 🗤

I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
1	
1	
1	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
I	
1	
I	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
1	
,	

28. Please upload a document (e.g. position paper) if you wish to share any further comments/recommendations regarding present and future Euratom Programmes (optional)

The maximum file size is 1MB. Please note that the uploaded document will be published alongside your response to the questionnaire which is the essential input to this open public consultation. Select file to upload Submit Save as Draft

EUSurvey is supported by the European Commission's ISA FAQ (/eusurvey/home/helpparticipants) | Support (/eusurvey/home/support/runner) programme (http://ec.europa.eu/isa), which promotes interoperability solutions for European public administrations.