WIK-Consult • Country Reports

Study for the European Commission, Directorate General for Internal Market and Services

Main Developments in the Postal Sector (2010-2013) Country Reports

Authors:
Alex Kalevi Dieke (Project Manager)
Christian Bender
James I. Campbell Jr.
Christine Müller
Antonia Niederprüm
Alexandre de Streel
Sonja Thiele
Claus Zanker

WIK-Consult GmbH Rhöndorfer Str. 68 53604 Bad Honnef Germany

Bad Honnef, August 2013





I




Contents

AUSTRIA	1
BELGIUM	5
BULGARIA	9
CROATIA	13
CYPRUS	17
CZECH REPUBLIC	21
DENMARK	25
ESTONIA	30
FINLAND	34
FRANCE	38
GERMANY	42
GREECE	46
HUNGARY	50
ICELAND	54
IRELAND	58
ITALY	62
LATVIA	66
LIECHTENSTEIN	70
LITHUANIA	74
LUXEMBOURG	78
MALTA	82
NETHERLANDS	86
NORWAY	90
POLAND	94
PORTUGAL	98
ROMANIA	103
SLOVAK REPUBLIC	107
SLOVENIA	111
SPAIN	115
SWEDEN	119
SWITZERLAND	124
UNITED KINGDOM	128



AUSTRIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework				
Ministry for postal policy	Federal Ministry for Transport, Innovation and Technology			
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Ownership: Federal Ministry for Finance; represented by ÖIAG (Österreichische Industrieholding AG)			
National regulatory authority	The Austrian Regulatory Authority for Broadcasting and Telecommunications			

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 3	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff: 4.28	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 30000	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Not for any postal services; Statistics: Not for any postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Not for any postal services; Statistics: Not for any postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Federal Competition Authority			
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No			
NCA decisions since 2009				
State aid cases				



B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	No	
Non-priority correspondence	No			
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point:		

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: Other Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	NA		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	NA		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Related to QoS: Unknown, Transparent: Unkn unknown, no information information		
Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP			
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed	by legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 0	Designation (%): Procurement (%)		
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0	·	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		




B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	1.30
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.55	EUR 0.62	1.25
20g SSC, domestic			1.20
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.65	EUR 0.70	1.15
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 5.70	EUR 5.70	1.10
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff) (lowest tariff)		EUR 16.25	1.05 1.00 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2011 20g FSC — 20g SSC — 20g EU FSC — CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	95%	95%	2010: 94%
Performance (D+1)	95.4%	96.0%	2011: 94% 2012: 94%
Postal outlets (total)	1,834	1,927	1
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.19	2.28	
Share post offices	38.8%	28.4%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice		
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice		
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	on of some services with	the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No		
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database:	Post office boxes:	Delivery boxes: Yes		
VAT: USP services exempt	other;				
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules					
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No				
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information information		no information		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No				

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No By NRA: No		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%): 59		






C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: n/a USP: Low	Austrian Post, Feibra (subsidiary of Austrian Post), Mail Austria	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Austrian Post, DHL Global Mail, Spring, Asendia	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: n/a	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Austrian Post (75%), DHL, DPD Austria, GLS	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Austrian Post (22%), DHL, DPD Austria, GLS	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Österreichische Post AG, DHL, DPD Austria, UPS	

C.3 Österreichische Post AG (2012)			
Legal status	plc IPO 2006		
State ownership	52.8% (via ÖIAG) 47.2% free float	Revenue structure (2012)	
Total revenue	EUR 2,366m		
Share national revenue	73%	CEP	
EBIT margin total / mail	7.7% / 11.5%	38% Mail	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	25,042 / 23,181	62%	
Share civil servants (headcount)	40.3%		
Share part-time	n/a		
Share wage costs on total costs	48.7%		



BELGIUM

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Economy, Consumer Affairs and the North Sea		
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Minister of Public Enterprises and Development Cooperation		
National regulatory authority	Belgian Institute for Postal Services and Telecommunications		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 4	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 2500000	Professional staff: 7	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes n/a		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Competition Council		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009	2012-P/K-32 - Publimail/Bpost		

6

Belgium



State aid cases

SA.14588 - Mesures en faveur de La Poste belge
N1/2013 - State compensations to bpost for the delivery of public services over
2013-2015

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	No	Yes
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	No
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcicharge to addressee	els must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency: The UPU Acts are signed by the Belgian State and the obligations of the Act should respected by the "designated USP, in casus bpost. The provision of executing the obligatio of the UPU cenvention is foreseen in the management contract	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Related to QoS: Yes Transparent: No Unknown, no information		
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	SP	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Unknown, no information	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: Yes		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No		


Belgium 7

B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	160
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.69	EUR 0.77	150
20g SSC, domestic			140
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.00	EUR 1.13	130
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 7.70	EUR 8.70	120
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 13.00	EUR 16.20	110 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 200g FSC — 20g SSC — 20g EU FSC — CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	90%	90% (2011)	2010: 82%
Performance (D+1)	93.3%	92.3% (2011)	1 2011: 87%
Postal outlets (total)	1,394	1,375 (2011)	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.29	1.25	
Share post offices	49.5%	49.2% (2011)	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	on of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: Yes	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates); inbound parcels (non-UPU charges); inbound express mail items;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: Unknown, no information			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information information			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	Yes			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		





C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: n/a	bpost
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: n/a	bpost DHL Global Mail Spring (TNT Post) Asendia (La Poste / Swiss Post)
Domestic parcel & express (total)	62%	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	Estimated USP market share: 10-15%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: n/a	bpost, PostNL, DHL, FedEx, UPS
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: n/a	TNT Express, DHL, FedEx, UPS
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: n/a	DHL, FedEx, TNT Express, UPS


C.3 bpost (2012)		
Legal status	plc IPO 2013	
State ownership	50% plus 488 shares Free float 29.9% CVC (via Post Invest Europe) 19.7% bpost's employees 0.5%	Revenue structure (2012) Information Financial services services 9% 4%
Total revenue	EUR 2,416m	CEP 7%
Share national revenue	95.3%	
EBIT margin total / mail	13.4% / n/a	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	29,922 / 26,625	Mail
Share civil servants (headcount)	56.8%	80%
Share part-time	30.3%	
Share wage costs on total costs	51.3%	





BULGARIA

A. Country facts



B. Regulation

B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Transport, Information Technology and Communications	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Communications Regulation Commission	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 192534	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 1024,11797839111		
NRA authority to order remedies	No		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

9



B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Commission on Protection of Competition			
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Coord agmt: Yes Unknown, no information			
NCA decisions since 2009		·	•	
State aid cases				

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No		No
Bulk advertisements	No		No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	No
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels postal outlet nearest ad	required only to be held at ddressee

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	ept for EU competition and o	customs rules
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	ept for EU competition and o	customs rules
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	SP .	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp: 39.6	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No	





B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.51	EUR 0.43	220
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.33	EUR 0.33	180
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.50	EUR 1.50	160
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR	EUR 1.94	140
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR	EUR 22.90	120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	80%	80% (2011)	
Performance (D+1)	83.6%	88.1% (2011)	
Postal outlets (total)	3,436	3,453 (2011)	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	4.54	4.69 (2011)	
Share post offices	86.8%	86.3% (2011)	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: No answer	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: No answer	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provis	sion of some services with th	ne universal service area
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No answer USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs? answer		from EU/EEA ETOEs?: No
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No answer ABC remail: No answer		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%)	:	



C.1 Market size and demand	l (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	12 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	43% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	57% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	20	0.2% 0.13%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	14% (Volume)	0.07%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	86% (Volume)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	85m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -9.9%
Outbound cross-border letter post	3.51m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -2.7% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	99%	NRA: Marginal USP: n/a	BGUSP (95%)
Outbound cross-border letter post	98.1%	NRA: Marginal USP: n/a	BGUSP (96.6%)
Domestic parcel & express (total)	54%	NRA: Intense USP: n/a	Estimated USP market share: 5-10%
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	51%	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	DHL, In Time Courier, TNT Express

C.3 Bulgarian Posts (2010)		
Legal status	plc	
State ownership	100%	
Total revenue	EUR 74m	
Share national revenue	n/a	
EBIT margin total / mail	0.1% / n/a	No segment information
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	13,135 / n/a	, to sognost moments.
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%	
Share part-time	n/a	
Share wage costs on total costs	62.1%	



CROATIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Sea, Tourism, Transport and Development	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Croatian Post and Electronic Communications Agency	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Appointed by: Parliament	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes n/a		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Universal service area only; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Croatian Competition	Croatian Competition Agency		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No	Share data: No Regular consult: Coord agmt: Yes		
NCA decisions since 2009				
State aid cases				

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No		
Bulk advertisements	No		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No		
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered to address for appropriate charge to addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Related to QoS: Unknown, Transparent: Unknown, no information no information information		
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	/ legislation:
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 55 Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 10	Parcels (% pop):	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	125 —
20g FSC, domestic	EUR	EUR 0.61	120 —
20g SSC, domestic	EUR	EUR 0.41	115
20g FSC, EU	EUR	EUR	110
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR	EUR	105
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR	EUR	100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 20g FSC 20g SSC 20g EU FSC C
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%		
Performance (D+1)		80% (2011)	
Postal outlets (total)	1,142	1,040	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.58	2.36	
Share post offices	100%	100%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:
Licence required within US area			
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: No	Competence: Yes
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Unknown, no information	Post office boxes:	Delivery boxes: Unknown, no information
VAT: USP services exempt			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates);		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: Unknown, no information USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: Unknown, no information		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information information		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes	
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes By NRA:		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	69 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	10% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	90% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	2	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	5% (n/a)	no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	95% (n/a)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post		Main developments since 2007 Average growth rate 2007-2011: n/a
Outbound cross-border letter post	7.24m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -9.5% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	9m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	99%	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	HRUSP (80%) City Ex Lider Expres
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: Low	n/a
Domestic parcel & express (total)	75%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 15-20%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	n/a
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	n/a
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	75%	NRA: Intense USP: Low	n/a

C.3 Hrvatska pošta (2011)				
Legal status	State enterprise			
State ownership	100%			
Total revenue	EUR 219m			
Share national revenue	n/a			
EBIT margin total / mail	2.8% / n/a	No segment information		
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	10,664 / n/a			
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%			
Share part-time	n/a			
Share wage costs on total costs	69.1%			



CYPRUS

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Communication and Works		
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Ministry of Communication and Works		
National regulatory authority	Office of the Commissioner for Electronic Communication and Postal Regulation		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA				
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister		
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 474156	Professional staff: 3		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 171000			
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			

B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Commission for the Protection of Competition			
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No			
NCA decisions since 2009				
State aid cases				



B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk advertisements	Yes	No	No	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	No	No	
Non-priority correspondence	No	No	No answer	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk parcels	Yes	No	No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	No specific requirement	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee		

	•	•	•	
B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: No answer	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	NA			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	NA			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: No Related to QoS: No answer Transparent: N			
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of U	JSP		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	No answer			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	No answer	TD regimes:		
Overalll net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer			

	l		
B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	120
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.34	EUR 0.34	115
20g SSC, domestic			110
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.51	EUR 0.51	105
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 3.00	EUR 3.00	100
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 44.42	EUR 44.42	95 90 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	90%	90%	
Performance (D+1)	89.4%	90.9%	
Postal outlets (total)	1,101	1,086	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	13.44	12.6	
Share post offices	5.1%	5.5%	1





B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 0	Volume (%) : 90		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 0	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: Yes		

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law but verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Not required by law or verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Not required by law or verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	on of some services with the	universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; bulk parcels; express services; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post; outbound express;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates); inbound parcels (non-UPU charges); inbound express mail items;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: Unknown, no information USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs Unknown, no information			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No	ABC remail: No		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	Unknown, no information			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%): 2		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	86 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	10% (Revenue)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	90% (Revenue)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	0.2% 0.14%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	72m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: 6.0%
Outbound cross-border letter post	4.24m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -19.1% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: n/a USP: Other	Cyprus Post
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: n/a USP: Other	Cyprus Post
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 0-5%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Akis express, ACS couriers, Travel & express
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Akis express, ACS couriers, Travel & express
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	72%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	DHL, EMS Datapost, FedEx, TNT Express

C.3 Cyprus Post (2012)		
Legal status	Government department	Boyonus structuro (2012)
State ownership	100%	Revenue structure (2012) Financial Others
Total revenue	EUR 31m	services 4%
Share national revenue	100%	0.1%
EBIT margin total / mail	11.8% / n/a	CEP 14%
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	685 /681	1476
Share civil servants (headcount)	100%	
Share part-time	0%	Mail
Share wage costs on total costs	73.9%	82%



CZECH REPUBLIC

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Industry and Trade (MIT)	
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Ministry of Interior	
National regulatory authority	Czech Telecommunication Office	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 217937	Professional staff: 7	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 398195,378544437	
NRA authority to order remedies	No		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Office for the Protection of Competition		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No Regular consult: No Coord agmt:		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			



B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No		
Bulk advertisements	No		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No		
Non-priority correspondence	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No
Bulk parcels	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcel charge to addressee	s must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	SP .	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	2	TD regimes: Reims TDs; b	ilateral TD agreements;
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes: 2008 UPU ne	w target system;
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 5	Pop (%): 5 Volume (%) : 5		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 5	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		




B.7 Universal service indica	itors	
Price trend	2010	2013
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.40	EUR 0.40
20g SSC, domestic		
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.79	EUR 0.79
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 1.98	EUR 2.34
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 8.90	EUR 8.92
Quality of service	2010	2012
Domestic target (D+1)	92.5%	95%
Performance (D+1)	93.2%	93.1%
Postal outlets (total)	3,400	3,403
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	3.24	3.24
Share post offices	98.4%	97.1%

B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:
Licence required within US area	No authorisation required for	provision of services with th	e universal service area
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: No
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post; other;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates); inbound parcels (non-UPU charges); inbound express mail items;		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No No		from EU/EEA ETOEs?:
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Yes	ABC remail: Yes	
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users				
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.		
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user pr	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes By NRA:			
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	-		






C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	94%	NRA: Moderate USP: Substantial	CZUSP (87%) Mediaservis Ceská distribucní
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Moderate	DHL FedEx
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 25-30%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	Ceska Posta, DPD CZ, Geis Parcel CZ. PPL CZ (DHL)
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	DPD CZ, Geis Parcel CZ, PPL CZ (DHL)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Moderate	DHL, FedEx

C.3 Česká pošta (2012)		
Legal status	State enterprise	Revenue structure (2012)
State ownership	100%	` '
Total revenue	EUR 798m	Financial services
Share national revenue	100%	16%
EBIT margin total / mail	1% / n/a	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	33,075 / 32,049	
Share civil servants (headcount)	10.1%	Mail
Share part-time	n/a	84%
Share wage costs on total costs	62.4% (2011)	



DENMARK

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework				
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Transport			
Ministry for ownership of PPO				
National regulatory authority	Danish Transport Authority			

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA				
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff		
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 403047	Professional staff: 1.5		
NRA authority to levy fines	No	n/a		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Danish Competition and Co	Danish Competition and Consumer Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition autho	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009	Post DanmarkBring City Mail/ Post D	 MCD-Mediabroker-Carat-IUM Post Danmark Bring City Mail/ Post Danmark Forbruger Kontakt/ Post Danmark 		
State aid cases				

B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes			
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	No	No	
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	must be delivered without	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border Second agency: services		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	NA		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	NA		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU old transitional system; Reims agreements;	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old new target system; 2008 U Reims TDs;	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			



B.6 Ensuring Universal Service					
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :			
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes		
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%):	Procurement (%	%): -	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0.01	Parcels (% pop): 0.05	·		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No			
USO financed from public funds	No				
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No			

B.7 Universal service indica	tors			
Price trend	2010	2013		190 —
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.74	EUR 1.07		180 ————————————————————————————————————
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.67	EUR 0.80		160 ————————————————————————————————————
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.07	EUR 1.68		150 ————————————————————————————————————
5kg parcel, domestic		EUR 9.38		130
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)		EUR 37.53		110 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g
Quality of service	2010	2012		USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	93%	93%		2010: 70%
Performance (D+1)	93.3%	93.5%	2011: 70% 2012: 71%	
Postal outlets (total)	723	694		. 2012. 1170
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.31	1.24		
•				

B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	law but not verified in law but not verified in		Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	law but not verified in law but not verified in law		Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	General authorisation required for provision of some services with the universal service area			
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No Quality conditions: No Competence: I		Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes Post office boxes: Yes		oxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates);			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: USP accepts inbound mail from EU/E ETOEs?: No			inbound mail from EU/EEA
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No answer ABC remail: No answer			No answer
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			



B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	No		
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		

C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	159 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.6% 0.54% 0.45%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	0,2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	885m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -10.3%
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	n/a Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	

C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: Other	Post Danmark A/S	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: Substantial	Post Danmark A/S	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Other	Estimated USP market share: >30%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Post Danmark, DHL, GLS	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	PostNord, Bring, GLS Denmark	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, GLS, PostNord	




C.3 Post Danmark (2012)		
Legal status	plc	
State ownership	40% Subsidiary of PostNord AB (60% owned by Swedish state)	Revenue structure (2012)
Total revenue	EUR 4,471m	Denmark
Share national revenue	n/a	Sweden 21%
EBIT margin total / mail	0.9% / -0.2%	38%
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	39,713 / n/a	CEP & Logistics 34%
Share civil servants (headcount)	n/a	Information
Share part-time	n/a	services 7%
Share wage costs on total costs	47.6%	



ESTONIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Economic Affairs and Communications		
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	Estonian Competition Authority		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff: 3	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 3200	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Estonian Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National regulatory authority for postal affairs (NRA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes	Regular consult: No	Coord agmt:
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			



B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No		
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No		
Non-priority correspondence	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No
Bulk parcels	No	No	No
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels postal outlet nearest ac	required only to be held at ddressee

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply ever	if contrary to EU law	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply ever	if contrary to EU law	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	sed TDs: Yes Related to QoS: Yes Transparent: No	
Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP			
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: Reims TDs; b	ilateral TD agreements;
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes: 2008 UPU ne transitional system;	w target system; 2008 UPU
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	r legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 0	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%): 0	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp: 30	Net cost study: Yes		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: Yes		




B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	260 —
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.35	EUR 0.45	240 — 220 — 2
20g SSC, domestic			200 —
20g FSC, EU		EUR 1.00	180
5kg parcel, domestic		EUR 3.83	160
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)			120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —C
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	90%	90%	
Performance (D+1)	92.7%	91.1%	
Postal outlets (total)	385	363 (2011)	
	1	1	1
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.87	2.71 (2011)	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provis	Licence required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: Yes	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large e	nvelopes; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates);	
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information	ABC remail: Unknown, no i	nformation	
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users				
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.		
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services			
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes			
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA:		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%): 25			






C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Moderate USP: Substantial	Eesti Post Ltd. (96%) Express Post Ltd.	
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: Marginal	Eesti Post Ltd.	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	80%	NRA: Substantial USP: Substantial	Estimated USP market share: 30-35%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Substantial	Eesti Post Ltd., DPD Eesti	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Moderate	Eesti Post Ltd., DPD Eesti, G4S Eesti	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	75%	NRA: Moderate USP: Low	DHL Estonia Ltd., DPD Eesti Ltd., Eesti Post Ltd., TNT Express	





FINLAND

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Transport and Communications		
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Prime Minister's Office, Ownership Steering Department		
National regulatory authority	Finnish Communications Regulatory Authority		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 975000	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	n/a	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Finnish Competition and Consumer Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service					
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards		
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Bulk letters	No	No	No		
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	No		
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No		
Bulk parcels	No	No	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week				
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week		Delivery point: Parcels required only to be held at postal outlet nearest addressee		

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border Second agency: services		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	Other		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	Other		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Unknown, no information Related to QoS: Unknown, Transparent: Unknown, no information		
Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP			
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	130
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.75	EUR 0.80	125
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.60	EUR 0.70	120
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.75	EUR 0.80	115
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 10.05	EUR 8.50	110
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)		EUR 21.00	105 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	80%	2011: 2.81 of 5
Performance (D+1)	91.1%	90.4%	2012: 3.11 of 5
Postal outlets (total)	1,060	1,099	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.98	2.03	
Share post offices	13.2%	9.3%	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Direct mail:		Bulk parcels:	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provis	ion of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules				
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: ABC remail:			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	NCPA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	305	



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	290 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	5% (Volume)	0.8%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	95% (Volume)	0.6%
Parcel & express items per capita	8	0.4%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.2% no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	1,560m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -1.2%
Outbound cross-border letter post	17m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -10% Note: (Market survey NRA)
Domestic parcel & express	43.2m	Note: (NRA market survey 2010)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Marginal	Itella Posti Oy (100%) Esa Jakelut Ilves Jakelut	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Marginal USP: Low	Itella Posti Oy Posten (ETOE)	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: >30%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Itella / Posti	
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Itella, Matkahuolto, Schenker	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Substantial	DHL, DPD, UPS	





FRANCE

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministère du Redressement Productif. Fleur Pellerin, Ministre auprès du Ministre du Redressement Productif, chargée des PME, de l'innovation et de l'économie numérique (Ministre chargé des postes).	
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Agence des Participations de l'Etat	
National regulatory authority	Regulation Authority for Electronic Communications and Posts	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 7	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	n/a	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes fo	r all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services	



B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Competition Authority	Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition author	ority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No			
NCA decisions since 2009	11-MC-01 - Kiala/La Poste et Mondial Relay			
State aid cases) DSTE pour le financement de	

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	No
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No	No	No
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week		•
Delivery frequency for parcels	6 days per week	Delivery point: Other	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:	
Overalll net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study:	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 0	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	ĺ
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.56	EUR 0.63	l
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.51	EUR 0.58	l
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.70	EUR 0.80	l
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 10.07	EUR 11.31	l
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 27.17	EUR 27.50	
Quality of service	2010	2012	
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	ĺ
Performance (D+1)	83.4%	87.9%	1
Postal outlets (total)	17,079	17,041	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.64	2.61	
Share post offices	59.8%	57.6%	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: No answer	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: No answer	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provis	ion of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: Yes	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt	outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules				
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail:	ABC remail:		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users		
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enfo	rce user protection provisions for postal services
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: Yes
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	53



C.1 Market size and demand	d (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	249 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	16% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	84% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	12	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	Letter post Parcel & express
		·
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	16,173m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -3.6%
Outbound cross-border letter post	390m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -5.6% Note: (Market survey NRA)
Domestic parcel & express	795m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	99.9%	NRA: Marginal USP: Marginal	La Poste
Outbound cross-border letter post	92.5%	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	La Poste (75%) BPI DHL Global Mail Royal Mail pring Swiss Post Österreichische Post IMX
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Other	Estimated USP market share: >30%
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Other	La Poste, Colis Privé, Mondial Relay
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	TNT Express, DHL, Geopost
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, Geopost





GERMANY

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Federal Ministry of Economics and Technology	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Federal Network Agency for Electricity, Gas, Telecommunications, Post and Railways	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 3	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 500000	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		





B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Bundeskartellamt			
Primary authority for competition rules	National regulatory authority for postal affairs (NRA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No			
NCA decisions since 2009	 VI-U (Kart) 14/11 - OLG Düsseldorf, 1&1-Internet/Deutsche Post VI-U (Kart) 17/08 - OLG Düsseldorf, Mail consolidator/Deutsche Post Deutsche Post 			
State aid cases	SA.17653 - Complaint against Germany for unlawful state aid to Deutsche Post			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No		
Bulk advertisements	No	Yes	No answer
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	Yes	Yes
Non-priority correspondence	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week		·
Delivery frequency for parcels	6 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply except for EU competition and customs rules			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	pt for EU competition and cu	istoms rules	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: No answer	Transparent: No answer		
Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP				
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	No answer			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	No answer	TD regimes:		
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed	by legislation: No
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 100	Designation (%): 0	Procurement (%): 0
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		



USO financed from compensation	Authorized: Yes	Established: No
fund		

B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.55	EUR 0.58	
20g SSC, domestic			
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.70	EUR 0.75	
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 6.90	EUR 6.90	
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 17.00	EUR 17.00	
Quality of service	2010	2012	
Domestic target (D+1)	80%	80%	
Performance (D+1)	92.8%	92.3%	
Postal outlets (total)	36,546	27,205 (2011)	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	4.47	3.33 (2011)	
Share post offices	0.1%	0.1% (2011)	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?			Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	on of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: Yes	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt	other;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: Unknown, no information			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information information			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users		
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.
Implementing authority(ies)	Other	
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: Yes
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%)	: 400



C.1 Market size and demand	l (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	218 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	7% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	93% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	29	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007 and future trends
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post		Average growth rate 2007-2011: -1.7%
Outbound cross-border letter post	600m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: 1.2% Note: (Market survey NRA)
Domestic parcel & express	2,400m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	90%	NRA: Low USP: Intense	DPAG (90%) TNT Post Deutschland Holtzbrinck Gruppe (USP answer)
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Asendia Austrian Post International Spring
Domestic parcel & express (total)	46%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 25-30%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	DPAG / DHL, DPD, Hermes, GLS, UPS
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: n/a	Deutsche Post DHL, DPD Germany, GLS, TNT Express, UPS
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	DHL, DPD, GLS, UPS





GREECE

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Development, Competitiveness, Infrastructure, Transport and Networks	
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Ministry of Development, Competitiveness, Infrastructure, Transport and Networks and Monitoring Committee of Management Contract	
National regulatory authority	Hellenic Telecommunications And Post Commission	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 9	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 1000000	Professional staff: 12	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 350000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Hellenic Competition Commission		
Primary authority for competition rules	National regulatory authority for postal affairs (NRA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No answer		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases	SA.32562 - Aid to the Hell	enic Post	

B.4 Scope of Universal Service					
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards		
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	No		
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	No		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	No		
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No		
Bulk parcels	No	Yes	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		·		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week		Delivery point: Parcels required only to be held a postal outlet nearest addressee		

B.5 Cross-border Services					
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: Other	Second agency:			
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	ept for EU competition rules			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	ept for EU competition rules			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Cost based TDs: Yes Related to QoS: Yes Transparent: Yes			
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P			
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes				
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: Reims TDs;			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: Reims TDs;			
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	Moderate net gain compare	ed to current outbound reve	nue		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 6	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 99	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 8.5	Parcels (% pop):	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: Yes	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica	tors	
Price trend	2010	2013
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.58	EUR 0.62
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.53	EUR 0.57
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.72	EUR 0.78
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 5.70	EUR 6.10
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 39.70	
Quality of service	2010	2012
Domestic target (D+1)	87%	87%
Performance (D+1)	87.7%	91.6%
Postal outlets (total)	1,597	1,538
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.41	1.36
Share post offices	54.5%	52.5%

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice		
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	ion of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No Quality conditions: No Competence		Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes Post office boxes: No D		Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt	other;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: Yes USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users		
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enfo	rce user protection provisions for postal services
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: No
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	44 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	14% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	86% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	4	0.2% 0.17% 0.14%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	18% (n/a)	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	82% (n/a)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	502m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -6.9%
Outbound cross-border letter post	30.34m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -9.4% Note: (Market survey NRA)
Domestic parcel & express	50.23m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	

Greece


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: Intense	ELTA
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: Intense	n/a
Domestic parcel & express (total)	75%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 5-10%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	ELTA Courier, ACS, Geniki Taxydromiki
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	ELTA Courier, ACS, Geniki Taxydromiki
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	DHL, TNT Express, UPS

C.3 ELTA (2011)		
Legal status	plc	Povonuo etrueturo (2011)
State ownership	90% 10% (Hellenic Postal Savings Bank)	Revenue structure (2011) Financial Services Retail
Total revenue	EUR 482m	2% 17%
Share national revenue	100%	CEP 4%
EBIT margin total / mail	1.2% / n/a	470
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	9,134 / 7,698	Mail
Share civil servants (headcount)	n/a	77%
Share part-time	n/a	
Share wage costs on total costs	72.9%	Based on IPC, Carrier Intelligence Report Hellenic Post-ELTA



HUNGARY

A. Country facts



Hungary

B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of National Development	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	National Media Communications Authority	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 488613	Professional staff: 6	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 173144,342820258	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Hungarian Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: Yes		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			





B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No	No	Yes
Bulk advertisements	No	No	Yes
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	No
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		·
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ry to UPU provisions	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ry to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes Related to QoS: Yes Transparent: Yes		
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	11	TD regimes: 2008 UPU ne transitional system; Reims agreements;	ew target system; 2008 UPU s TDs; bilateral TD
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: 2008 UPU ne	ew target system;
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 40	Volume (%) : 35		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Yes	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	220 ————
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.38	EUR 0.49	200
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.29	EUR 0.38	180
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.83	EUR 0.91	160
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 3.59	EUR 4.16	140
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 27.62	EUR 30.11	120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 20g FSC 20g SSC 20g EU FSC CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	OSI Customer Sausiaction index
Performance (D+1)	93.7%	93%	
Postal outlets (total)	2,744	2,741	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.74	2.75	
Share post offices	74%	81.2%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: Yes
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Yes ABC remail: Yes		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	Yes		


B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes	
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%): 98		



C.1 Market size and demand (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	90 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	3% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	97% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	20% (n/a)	no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	80% (n/a)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
		I
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	899m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -2.7%
Outbound cross-border letter post	12.68m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -4.9% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	

Hungary


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Moderate	HUUSP
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Low	Magyar Posta DHL Global Mail G3 Worldwide
Domestic parcel & express (total)	65%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 25-30%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Hungarian Post, GLS, Feibra
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Hungarian Post, GLS Hungary, trans-o-flex Hungary (Austrian Post)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	60%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	DHL, DPD, Hungarian Post, UPS





ICELAND

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of the interior.	
Ministry for ownership of PPO	The Ministry of Finance	
National regulatory authority	Post and Telecom Administration	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 115394	Professional staff: 3	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 3,11876052971524	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Icelandic Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt:		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			







B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	No	
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Single piece parcels	No			
Bulk parcels	No			
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		<u> </u>	
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: No answ	Delivery point: No answer	

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA:	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	NA			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	NA			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes Related to QoS: Yes Transparent: No			
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	4	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old new target system; 2008 U Reims TDs;		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	No	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old new target system; 2008 U Reims TDs;		
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	Substantial net gain compared to current outbound revenue			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 5	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0.125	Parcels (% pop):		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indicators			
Price trend	2010	2013	260
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.46	EUR 0.72	240
20g SSC, domestic		EUR 0.62	220 200
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.02	EUR 1.05	180
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 5.70	EUR 6.42	160
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 28.85	EUR 29.81	140 120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 20 20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	
Performance (D+1)	87%	89%]
Postal outlets (total)	83	75	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.61	2.35	
Share post offices	47%	52%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels:	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels:	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	on of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No answer	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt				
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	127 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	25% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	75% (Volume)	0.4%0.35%
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	15% (Revenue)	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	85% (Revenue)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	40m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: n/a
Outbound cross-border letter post	1.48m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: n/a Note: USP data
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	97%	NRA: Low USP: n/a	Íslandspóstur (76%) Póstdreifing Póstmarkaðurinn
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: n/a	Íslandspóstur
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	Estimated USP market share: n/a
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	n/a
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	n/a
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	n/a

C.3 Íslandspóstur (2011)		
Legal status	plc	Revenue structure (2011)
State ownership	100%	Others
Total revenue	EUR 40m	12%
Share national revenue	n/a	
EBIT margin total / mail	-0.9% / n/a	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	n/a / 845	Mail
Share civil servants (headcount)	n/a	88%
Share part-time	n/a	
Share wage costs on total costs	58.1%	



IRELAND

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Department of Communications, Energy and Natural Resources	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Commission for Communication Regulation	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 3	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff: 1	
NRA authority to levy fines	No	n/a	
NRA authority to order remedies			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	The Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: Yes		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No			
Non-priority correspondence	No			
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk parcels	Yes		No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee		

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ry to UPU provisions	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ry to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	Р	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service					
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :			
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by legislation: Yes			
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):		
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0.2	Parcels (% pop): 0.2			
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study:			
USO financed from public funds	No				
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No			



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.55	EUR 0.60	
20g SSC, domestic			
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.82	EUR 0.90	
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 14.00	EUR 14.50	
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 40.00	EUR 42.00	
			_
Quality of service	2010	2012	
Domestic target (D+1)	94%	94%	
Performance (D+1)	85%	83% (2011)	
Postal outlets (total)	1,349	1,313	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	3.02	2.87	
Share post offices	4.2%	4.3%	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services					
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:		
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:		
Licence required within US area	General authorisation required for provision of some services with the universal service area				
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No		
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database:	Post office boxes:	Delivery boxes: Yes		
VAT: USP services exempt					
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules					
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?:				
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: ABC remail:				
Labour law: special rules in postal sector					

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes	
Publication of annual statistics	By USP:	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	148 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
		,
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	675m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -3.2%
Outbound cross-border letter post	35.6m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -15.9% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: n/a USP: Low	An Post DX Eirpost (but not yet operational)	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Moderate	An Post DHL Global Mail Spring Asendia	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: <20%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Low	An Post	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	An Post, DPD, Fastway, Nightline	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	An Post, DHL, DPD, UPS	





ITALY

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework				
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Economic Development - Department of Communications			
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Ministry of Economy & Finance			
National regulatory authority	Regulatory Authority for communications and media			

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff: 6	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 1500000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		





B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Italian Competition Authority			
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No			
NCA decisions since 2009	 A438 - Selecta/Poste Italiane (on-going) A441 - Poste Italiane A413 - TNT/Poste Italiane A414 - Centro Servizi dirrite del cittadino/Poste Italiane 			
State aid cases	SA.33989 - State compensations for the delivery of the universal service over 2009-2011 State compensations for reduced tariffs offered to publishers, not-for-profit organisations and electoral candidates over 2009-2011			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	No
Non-priority correspondence	No	No	No
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No	No No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services					
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:			
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	pt for EU competition and cu	stoms rules		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	pt for EU competition and cu	stoms rules		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No		
Discrimination with respect to inbo	Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP				
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes				
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU old	target system; Reims TDs;		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old	target system; Reims TDs;		
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	Substantial net gain compared to current outbound revenue				

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 0	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 1.5	Designation (%): 100 Procurement (%): 1		
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: Yes		
USO financed from public funds	Yes			



Í	ĺ	
USO financed from compensation	Authorized: Yes	Established: Yes
fund		
Turiu		

B.7 Universal service indica	tors			
Price trend	2010	2013	125 —	
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.60	EUR 0.70	120 —	
20g SSC, domestic			115 —	
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.75	EUR 0.85	110 —	
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 7.00	EUR 9.10	105	
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)			100 2005 2006 200 —20g FSC	
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satis	isfaction inde
Domestic target (D+1)	89%	89%		
Performance (D+1)	92%	94.7% (2011)		
Postal outlets (total)	14,005	14,000		
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.32	2.30		
Share post offices	100%	100%]	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Other	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Other
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Other	Direct mail: Not required by law but verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Other
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	on of some services with the	e universal service area
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; newspapers/periodicals; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post; outbound express;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA:	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	450	



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	73 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.4% 0.30% 0.31%
Parcel & express items per capita	5	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	4,405m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -6.0%
Outbound cross-border letter post	76.87m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -0.4% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	303m	Note: (2010)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	90%	NRA: Marginal USP: Intense	Poste Italiane (90%) TNT Post Italy SailPost	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Asendia IMX Spring / TNT	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	55%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: <15%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Poste Italiane S.p.A., DHL, TNT Express, UPS	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Bartolini, TNT Express, UPS	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, DPD, TNT Express	





LATVIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy Ministry of Transport			
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	Public Utilities Commission		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA					
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Number: 5 Appointed by: Parliament			
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Budget: Professional staff: 7			
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes NA				
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes				
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services				
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data:; Statistics: Yes for all postal services				

B.3 National Competition Autority					
National competition authority	The Competition Council				
Primary authority for competition rules	Both NRA and NCA equally				
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: Yes				
NCA decisions since 2009					
State aid cases					





B.4 Scope of Universal Service					
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards		
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	No	No		
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes		
Bulk parcels	No	No	No		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		<u> </u>		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week		Delivery point: Parcels required only to be held at postal outlet nearest addressee		

Latvia

		•	•	
B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply even if contrary to EU law			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply even if contrary to EU law			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes Related to QoS: Yes Transp		Transparent: Yes	
Discrimination with respect to inbo	und postal services of US	P		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	1	TD regimes: 2008 UPU new target system;		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: 2008 UPU new target system;		
Overalll net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	Moderate net loss compared to current outbound revenue			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by legislation: Yes		
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 85	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 1	Parcels (% pop): 1		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indica	tors						
Price trend	2010	2013	280	280	280	280	280
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.56	EUR 0.58	260 ————————————————————————————————————				
20g SSC, domestic			220	-			
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.78	EUR 0.79	200 ————				
5kg parcel, domestic			160				
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)			140 120 100 2005 2006 —20g FSC	120 100 2005 2006 2007 2	120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009	120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010	120
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer	USP customer satisfac	USP customer satisfaction inde	USP customer satisfaction index	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	97%	97% (2011)					
Performance (D+1)	90%	86.9% (2011)					
Postal outlets (total)		571 (2011)	-				
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants		2.75 (2011)					
Share post offices		97.9% (2011)					

B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Direct mail:		Bulk parcels:	
Licence required within US area	No authorisation required for provision of services with the universal service area			
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No Post office boxes: Yes De		Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates); inbound parcels (non-UPU charges); inbound express mail items;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No answer			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users				
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.		
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services			
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No By NRA: Yes			
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%): 0			



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	19 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	2	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	40m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -9.3%
Outbound cross-border letter post	2.56m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -12.4% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	3.8m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: n/a	Latvijas Pasts Post Service Diena
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: n/a	Latvijas Pasts
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	Estimated USP market share: 20-25%
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	Itella Logistics, Latvijas Post

C.3 Latvijas Pasts (2010)			
Legal status	plc		
State ownership	100%		
Total revenue	EUR 54m		
Share national revenue	n/a		
EBIT margin total / mail	7.9% / n/a	No segment information	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	5,006 / n/a	The degrical mornation	
Share civil servants (headcount)	n/a		
Share part-time	n/a		
Share wage costs on total costs	55.2%		



LIECHTENSTEIN

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy		
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number:	Appointed by:	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	No	n/a	
NRA authority to order remedies			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data:; Statistics:		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data:; Statistics:		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority			
Primary authority for competition rules			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data:	Regular consult:	Coord agmt:
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	No		
Bulk letters	Yes		
Bulk advertisements	No		
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No		
Non-priority correspondence			
Single piece parcels	No		
Bulk parcels	Yes		
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		·
Delivery frequency for parcels		Delivery point:	

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA:	RA: Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU				
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU				
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:	
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?				
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:		
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage				

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study:	National USP appointed b	y legislation:	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study:		
USO financed from public funds				
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized:	Established:		



B.7 Universal service indica	itors	
Price trend	2010	2013
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.72	EUR 0.83
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.62	EUR 0.70
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.01	EUR 1.16
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 6.52	EUR 7.44
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 32.60	EUR 37.22
Quality of service	2010	2012
Domestic target (D+1)		
Performance (D+1)		
Postal outlets (total)		
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants		
Share post offices		


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:	
Licence required within US area				
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs:	Quality conditions:	Competence:	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt				
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules				
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?:	USP accepts inbound mail	from EU/EEA ETOEs?:	
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail:	ABC remail:		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector				

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law			
Implementing authority(ies)			
Users of private operators covered	Within US:	Outside US: Yes	
Publication of annual statistics	By USP:	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	l (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	645 (164)	
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	No information available
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	23 m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: n/a
Outbound cross-border letter post	10.2m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: n/a Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	Estimated USP market share: n/a
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	n/a





LITHUANIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Transport and Communications of Lithuania	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Communications Regulatory Authority of the Republic of Lithuania	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 7	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff: 5	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Limit: 145213,75464684	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Competition Council of the Republic of Lithuania		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes	Regular consult: Yes	Coord agmt: No
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			





B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	No			
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No			
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	No	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk parcels	No			
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13			Transparent: Unknown, no information
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	3	TD regimes: 2008 UPU transitional system; Reims TDs; bilateral TD agreements;	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: 2008 UPU trait TDs;	nsitional system; Reims
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) : 10		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Unknown, no information	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 100 Procurement (%):		
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	160 —
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.45	EUR 0.45	150
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.39	EUR 0.39	140
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.71	EUR 0.71	130
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 3.13	EUR 3.13	120
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 13.74	EUR 13.74	110 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	
Performance (D+1)	74.3%	75.6% (2011)	
Postal outlets (total)	872	836	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.62	2.78	
Share post offices	100%	100%	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Con	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice
Licence required within US area	General authorisation requ service area	ired for provision of some se	rvices with the universal
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: Unknown, no information	USP accepts inbound mail Unknown, no information	from EU/EEA ETOEs?:
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information	ABC remail: Unknown, no i	nformation
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA:	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	24	



C.1 Market size and demar	nd (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	25 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	14% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	86% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	2	0.2% 0.13%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross- border letter post	77m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -8.3%
Outbound cross-border letter post	3.67m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -5.5% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	7.53m	Note: (USP 2012)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: Substantial	AB Lithuanian Post (93%) UAB Vilpostus UAB Greitasis kurjeris
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: Marginal	AB Lithuanian Post UAB DPD Lietuva UAB DHL Lietuva
Domestic parcel & express (total)	66%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: <10%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	AB Lithuanian Post, DPD Latvia, UAB Venipak LT
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Substantial	DPD Lietuva, Unipakas, Venipak LT
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Substantial	AB Lithuanian Post, TNT Express, UAB DPD Lietuva





LUXEMBOURG

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	Media and communications service (Service des Médias et des Communications)		
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	Luxembourg Institute for Regulation		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 3	Appointed by: Other	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 465279	Professional staff: 0	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 500000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Conseil de la Concurr	Conseil de la Concurrence		
Primary authority for competition rules	National regulatory authority for postal affairs (NRA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No	Share data: No Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009	2012-E-07 - Dintec/P&T			
State aid cases				

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	Unknown, no information	
Non-priority correspondence	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	Yes	Unknown, no information	No
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels mu charge to addressee	st be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency: n/a	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	iP .	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	No		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	3	TD regimes: 2008 UPU ne bilateral TD agreements;	w target system; Reims TDs;
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	No	TD regimes: 2008 UPU ne transitional system; Reims	w target system; 2008 UPU TDs;
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No significant gain or loss		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No National USP appointed by legislation		I by legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 80 Procurement (%	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 1	Parcels (% pop): 1	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	125
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.50	EUR 0.60	120
20g SSC, domestic			115
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.70	EUR 0.85	110
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 6.50	EUR 8.00	105
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 10.55	EUR 14.00	100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 20 2005 FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	95%	95%	2011: 97%
Performance (D+1)	98%	98.4%	
Postal outlets (total)	116	116	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.31	2.21	
Share post offices	85.3%	83.6%	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	law but not verified in law but not verified in law		Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provis	ion of some services with the	e universal service area
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No Quality conditions: No Compe		Competence: Yes
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes Post office boxes: Yes Delivery boxes: Y		Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post; other;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	1	



C.1 Market size and dema	and (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	347 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	n/a	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross- border letter post	178m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -0.7%
Outbound cross-border letter post	33.1m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -1.3% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Moderate	LUUSP	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Substantial	n/a	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: n/a	
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	n/a	
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	n/a	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	n/a	





MALTA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	The Minister for the Economy, Investment and Small Business.		
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	Malta Communications Authority		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 235620	Professional staff: 4	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 25000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Malta Competition and Consumer Affairs Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			





B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	Yes
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	Yes
Non-priority correspondence	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week		·
Delivery frequency for parcels	6 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border Second agency:			
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: No answer Related to QoS: No answer		Transparent: No	
Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP				
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	No answer			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU net TD agreements;	w target system; bilateral	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information TD regimes: 2008 UPU new target system; bil TD agreements;		w target system; bilateral	
Overalll net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Yes	National USP appointed	by legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 99 Procurement	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	




B.7 Universal service indica	itors	
Price trend	2010	2013
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.19	EUR 0.26
20g SSC, domestic		
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.37	EUR 0.37
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 2.33	EUR 2.33
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 14.26	EUR 14.26
Quality of service	2010	2012
Domestic target (D+1)	93%	93%
Performance (D+1)	95.1%	95.6%
Postal outlets (total)	65	63
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.57	1.51
Share post offices	50.8%	52.4%


B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provisi	ion of some services with the	e universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No Quality conditions: No		Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No Post office boxes: Yes		Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules				
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?:			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: ABC remail:			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		






C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Low	MaltaPost Plc (99%) Premiere Post (Delivery of traffic summons via a registered mail type of service)
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Other USP: Low	MaltaPost
Domestic parcel & express (total)	66%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: <20%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	n/a
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	n/a
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	61%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	n/a





NETHERLANDS

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Economic Affairs		
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	Independent Post and Telecommunications Authority. From April 2nd onwards: The Netherlands Authority for Consumers & Markets.		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 3 Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff		
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 692000 Professional staff:		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 450000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Unknown, no information		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Other		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Other		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	The Netherlands Authority for Consumers and Markets		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: Yes		
NCA decisions since 2009	6207 - Sandd/ PostNL (ex TNT)		
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No	No	No
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	No
Non-priority correspondence	No	No	No
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No
Bulk parcels	No	No	No
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week		·
Delivery frequency for parcels	No specific requirement	Delivery point: Other	

	•	•	•
B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: Intra-EU cross- border services only	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Unknown, no information	Related to QoS: Unknown, no information	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	SP .	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Yes	National USP appointed b	y legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 0	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.44	EUR 0.54	
20g SSC, domestic			
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.77	EUR 0.90	
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 6.75	EUR 6.75	
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 19.50	EUR 19.50	
		L	
Quality of service	2010	2012	
Domestic target (D+1)	95%	95%	
Performance (D+1)	92,9%	93.9%	
Postal outlets (total)	2,196	2,205	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.32	1.32	
Share post offices	0.2%	0.3%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law and verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	No authorisation required for	or provision of services with	the universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs:	Quality conditions:	Competence:	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt				
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?:	USP accepts inbound mail	from EU/EEA ETOEs?:	
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail:	ABC remail:		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	Yes			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: No	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	l (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	269 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	8% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	92% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	9	0.25% 0.22%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	4,482m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -5.4%
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	n/a
Domestic parcel & express	157m	Note: (2010)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Moderate USP: Substantial	PostNL Sandd
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Substantial	PostNL Asendia BPI
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: n/a
B2C	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	PostNL (74%), DHL Selektvracht, TNT Express
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Substantial	PostNL (15%), DHL, DPD, GLS, TNT Express
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, DPD Eesti Ltd., UPS

C.3 PostNL (2012)		ì
Legal status	plc IPO 1996	Revenue structure (2012)
State ownership	100% free float	Others 5%
Total revenue	EUR 4,276m	
Share national revenue	63%	CEP
EBIT margin total / mail	6.8% / 1.2%	15%
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	66,411 / 33,824	
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%	Mail 80%
Share part-time	n/a	
Share wage costs on total costs	31.8%	



NORWAY

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Transport and Communications	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Norwegian Post and Telecommunication Authority	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 401268	Professional staff: 2.5	
NRA authority to levy fines	No	n/a	
NRA authority to order remedies	Unknown, no information		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Not for any postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Not for any postal services; Statistics: Not for any postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	The Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: Yes		
NCA decisions since 2009	34 250 - Privpak/Posten Norge		
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk advertisements	No	Yes	Yes	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	Yes	Yes	
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk parcels	No	No	No	
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week		·	
Delivery frequency for parcels	6 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee		

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	Other			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU				
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes Related to QoS: Yes Transparent: No			
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	iP .		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	No answer			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU tra TDs; bilateral TD agreemen		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	No	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old new target system; 2008 U Reims TDs;	• •	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 100	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 1	Parcels (% pop): 1	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp: 9	Net cost study: Yes	
USO financed from public funds	Yes		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No	




B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	180
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 1.06	EUR 1.29	170
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 1.00	EUR 1.22	150
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.37	EUR 1.77	140
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 14.99	EUR 19.05	130
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 39.73	EUR 45.94	110 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	2010: 85%
Performance (D+1)	83.5%	85.3%	
Postal outlets (total)	1,434	1,407	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.95	2.82	
Share post offices	12.5%	12.7%	


B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels:
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels:
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: No
VAT: USP services exempt			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No	USP accepts inbound mail	from EU/EEA ETOEs?: No
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Yes	ABC remail: No	
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: No Outside US: No		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	80	






C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Low	Posten (99.9%)	
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Substantial	Swiss Post (91.1%) PostNord DHL	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	97%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: >80%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Posten Norge AS, DHL, Jetpak, Tollpost	
B2B	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Substantial	NorwayPost / Bring, DHL, PostNord / Tollpost, TNT Express	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	99%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	DHL, PostNord, TNT Express, UPS	





POLAND

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Administration and Digital Affairs of Poland.	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	Office of Electronic Communications	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 186254	Professional staff: 13	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	n/a	
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes fo	r all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services	

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Office of Competition and Consumer Protection		
Primary authority for competition rules	National regulatory authority for postal affairs (NRA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases	N312/2010 - Compensation of costs incurred for the provision of services which are statutorily exempted from postage fees		



B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No	No	No
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	No
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No	No	No
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		•
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	ept for EU competition and co	ustoms rules	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: No answer	Related to QoS: No answer	Transparent: No answer	
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	SP		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	No answer			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	4	TD regimes: 2008 UPU netransitional system; Reims	w target system; 2008 UPU TDs;	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:		
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage				

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed b	by legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 0	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	Yes			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indica	itors		
Price trend	2010	2013	130 —
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.49	EUR 0.57	125
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.39	EUR 0.39	115
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.60	EUR 1.12	105
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 3.25	EUR 3.17	100
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 21.03	EUR 20.51	90 85 80 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	82%	82%	
Performance (D+1)	53.4%	63.4% (2011)	
Postal outlets (total)	8,383	8,459	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.2	2.19	
Share post offices	61.7%	56.1%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Not required by law or verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	No authorisation required for	or provision of services with	the universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: Yes	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates); inbound express mail items;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information ABC remail: Unknown, no information			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: No answer Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		

97



C.1 Market size and demand	l (2011)		
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	50 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)	
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	23% (Volume)	0.6%	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	77% (Volume)	0.4%	
Parcel & express items per capita	2	0.2%	
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	8% (Revenue)	0.10/0	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	92% (Revenue)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express	
	Volume	Main developments since 2007	
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	1,908m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: 0.7%	
Outbound cross-border letter post	29.45m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -3.5% Note: (USP data)	
Domestic parcel & express	81.5m		
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a		


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	95.6%	NRA: Low USP: Low	Poczta Polska S.A. (91.1%) InPost Sp. z o.o., General Logistics Systems Poland Sp. o.o.	
Outbound cross-border letter post	79%	NRA: Low USP: Low	Poczta Polska S.A. (78.2%) DHL UPS	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	86%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: n/a	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Substantial	Poczta Polska S.A., InPost Sp. Z o.o.	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, DPD, UPS	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	95%	NRA: Substantial USP: Moderate	DHL, GLS, Poczta Polska S.A., TNT Express	

C.3 Poczta Polska (2012)			
Legal status	plc		
State ownership	100%		
Total revenue	EUR 1,472m		
Share national revenue	100%		
EBIT margin total / mail	1% / n/a	No segment information	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	91,373 / 87,457	. The degition in all of the control	
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%		
Share part-time	18.6%		
Share wage costs on total costs	n/a		



PORTUGAL

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy Ministry of Economy and Employment (Minister of Economy and Employment / Secretary of State of Public Works, Transport and Communication).		
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Please see the answer in Additional Comments.	
National regulatory authority	National Communications Authority	

Portugal 99

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 5	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 46335000 Professional staff: 18		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 1000000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Portuguese Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: Yes		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk advertisements	No			
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week		Delivery point: Parcels required only to be held at posta outlet nearest addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	s-border Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU		•		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU				
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No	
Discrimination with respect to in	bound postal services of	USP		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	8		arget system; 2008 UPU new ansitional system; Reims TDs;	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old tagreements;	arget system; bilateral TD	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	Moderate net loss compared to current outbound revenue			



B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Yes	National USP appointed by legislation: Yes		
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 0	Designation (%):	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		

B.7 Universal service indicators					
Price trend	2010	2013	125 ————		
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.47	EUR 0.50	120		
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.32	EUR 0.36			
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.68	EUR 0.70	115		
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 4.70	EUR 5.25	110		
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 33.00	EUR 33.00	105 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI		
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index		
Domestic target (D+1)	94.5%	94.5%	2010: 72,2%		
Performance (D+1)	94.7%	94.9%	2011: 84,4%		
Postal outlets (total)	2,897	2,562			
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.72	2.43			
Share post offices	30.5%	29.2%			

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Other	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels: Other	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Other	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels: Other	
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provis	sion of some services with the	universal service area	
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: Yes	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: Yes	
VAT: USP services exempt	other;			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			



B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.		
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes By NRA:		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%)	: 8565	

C.1 Market size and demar	ıd (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	98 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	4% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	96% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	3	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	7% (Volume)	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	93% (Volume)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
		I
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross- border letter post	1,035m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -3.0%
Outbound cross-border letter post	33.68m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -7% Note: USP data
Domestic parcel & express	31.83m	Note: 2012
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	

C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	99.6%	NRA: Marginal USP: Low	CTT Correios (99%) Vasp Premium Notícias Direct.	
Outbound cross-border letter post	99.4%	NRA: Marginal USP: Moderate	CTT Correios (93.9%) Iberomail VASP	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	68%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: >20%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Grupo CTT	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	CTT Expresso, DHL	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	64%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	CTT, DHL, TNT Express, UPS	




C.3 CTT Correios (2012)		
Legal status	plc	Revenue structure (2012)
State ownership	100%	Information
Total revenue	EUR 712m	Financial services
Share national revenue	92%	services Others
EBIT margin total / mail	7.9% /3.9% (2011)	Freight & _7% 1% 1% logistics
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	13,167 /13,701	1% CEP
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%	16%
Share part-time	n/a	Mail 72%
Share wage costs on total costs	53.3% (2011)	



ROMANIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy Ministry for the Information Society			
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	National Authority for Management and Regulation in Communications		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA				
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1 Appointed by: Other			
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: Professional staff: 28			
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes n/a			
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			



B.3 National Competition Autority					
National competition authority	Competition Council	Competition Council			
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)				
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: Yes			
NCA decisions since 2009	52/2010 - Direct Marketing e.a./Romanian Post				
State aid cases					

B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	No	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	No	
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	No	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		·	
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week		Delivery point: Parcels required only to be held at postal outlet nearest addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contrary to UPU provisions		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contrary to UPU provisions		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	SP	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU transitional system; Reims TDs; bilateral TD agreements;	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: 2008 UPU transitional system; Reims TDs; bilateral TD agreements;	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service					
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :			
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by legislation: Yes			
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 86	Procurement (%):		
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop):	Parcels (% pop):			
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No			
USO financed from public funds	No				
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No			



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	350
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.38	EUR 0.36	300
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.24	EUR 0.22	250
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.50	EUR 0.47	200
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 1.71	EUR 1.60	150
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 11.40	EUR 15.15	100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	
Performance (D+1)	52.6%	40.6% (2011)	
Postal outlets (total)	6,982	5,848 (2011)	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	3.25	2.73 (2011)	
Share post offices	99.9%	99.9% (2011)	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Con	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law but not verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law but not verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Not required by law or verified in practice
Licence required within US area	General authorisation required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: No
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; newspapers/periodicals; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates); inbound parcels (non-UPU charges); inbound express mail items;		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No answer ABC remail: No answer		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes By NRA: Yes		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	22 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	34% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	66% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	2	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	48% (Revenue)	0.0% no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	52% (Revenue)	Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	481m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -7.6%
Outbound cross-border letter post	5.25m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -18% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	43.9m	Note: (USP 2012)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Compania Nationala Posta Româna S.A. Fan Courier Express S.R.L., POSTMASTER S.R.L.	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Compania Nationala Posta Româna S.A DHL International Romania S.R.L. UPS Romania S.R.L.	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	47%	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: <10%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	n/a	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, SRFLan Courier Express, SRLUrgent CurierCargus	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	DHL, Fan Courier Express SRL, Posta Atlassib Curier Rapid S.R.L., TNT Express, UPS	

C.3 Compania Nationala Po	osta Româna (2012)	
Legal status	plc	
State ownership	75% state ownership 25% S.C. Fondul Proprietatea S.A.	Revenue structure (2012)
Total revenue	EUR 283m	Others Mail
Share national revenue	100%	21% 24%
EBIT margin total / mail	-4.2% / n/a	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	32,887 / 32,460	Financial 8% services
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%	40% Retail
Share part-time	3.9%	8%
Share wage costs on total costs	68.1%	



SLOVAK REPUBLIC

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework	k
Ministry for postal policy	Ministry of Transport, Construction and Regional Development of the Slovak Republic
Ministry for ownership of PPO	
National regulatory authority	Postal Regulatory Office

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA				
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 1	Appointed by: Parliament		
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 499502	Professional staff: 15		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes	Yes Limit: 100000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services			

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Antimonopoly Office of the Slovak Republic		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: No Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			



B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk advertisements	Yes	Yes	Yes
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	Yes	No
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parc charge to addressee	els must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	der Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply even	if contrary to EU law	
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ry to UPU provisions	
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	und postal services of USF		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU old bilateral TD agreements;	target system; Reims TDs;
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU old new target system; 2008 U	d target system; 2008 UPU PU transitional system;
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 1	Volume (%) : 5	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed	by legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 70	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0.4	Parcels (% pop): 0.4	<u> </u>
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp: 6.7	Net cost study: Yes	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: Yes	



B.7 Universal service indicators				
Price trend	2010	2013	180	
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.60	EUR 0.65	170	
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.40	EUR 0.45	150	
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.90	EUR 0.90	140	
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 2.50	EUR 3.20	130	
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 25.00	EUR 25.00	110 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI	
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index	
Domestic target (D+1)	96%	96%	2010: 71%	
Performance (D+1)	96.8%	96%		
Postal outlets (total)	1,595	1,585		
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.94	2.93		
Share post offices	97.1%	97.2%		

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Not required by law but verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law but verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Not required by law but verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Not required by law but verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law but verified in practice	Bulk parcels: Not required by law but verified in practice
Licence required within US area	General authorisation required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: Yes
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; direct mail; bulk parcels; outbound letter post; outbound parcel post; other;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: Unknown, no information		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No ABC remail: No		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: Yes	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):	37	



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	57 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	14% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	86% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	5	0.24%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	8% (Revenue)	no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	92% (Revenue)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	1	
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	306m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -0.8%
Outbound cross-border letter post	9.69m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -8.6% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	25.18m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	97.7%	NRA: Low USP: Substantial	Slovenská pošta (94.6%) Cromwell Tatra Billing	
Outbound cross-border letter post	100%	NRA: Low USP: Moderate	Slovenská pošta (99.9%) DHL Express Cromwell	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	67%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share:15-20%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Slovenska Posta, DPD, GLS	
B2B	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Slovenska Posta, DPD, GLS, UPS	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	75%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	DHL, DPD, Slovenska Posta, UPS	

C.3 Slovenská Pošta (2011)	
Legal status	plc	Deviance atmost are (2042)
State ownership	100%	Revenue structure (2012)
Total revenue	EUR 287m	Financial Others
Share national revenue	100%	services 11%
EBIT margin total / mail	-2.3% / n/a	Mail 39%
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	14,297 / 13,740	39 /8
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%	Retail 34%
Share part-time	33.9%	
Share wage costs on total costs	52.2%	CEP 5%



SLOVENIA

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework			
Ministry for postal policy Ministry of Economic Development and Technology			
Ministry for ownership of PPO			
National regulatory authority	Postal and Electronic Communications Agency of the Republic of Slovenia		

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 12	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 404000 Professional staff: 4.5		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 400000		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Universal service area only		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Universal service area only; Statistics: Universal service area only		



B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Competition Protection Agency		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No		
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			

B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	No	No answer	No answer	
Bulk advertisements	No	No answer	No answer	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Non-priority correspondence	No	No		
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk parcels	No	No	No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services				
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: All cross-border services	Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	EU law apply even if contra	ary to UPU provisions		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No	
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Yes			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?	2	TD regimes: Reims TDs; bilateral TD agreements;		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Yes	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old transitional system;	target system; 2008 UPU	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	Substantial net gain compared to current outbound revenue			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 20	Volume (%): 1		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Yes	National USP appointed b	y legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 15	Designation (%): 85	Procurement (%):	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	160 ————
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.29	EUR 0.27	150
20g SSC, domestic			140
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.40	EUR 0.60	130
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 4.59	EUR 3.83	120
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 24.58	EUR 20.48	110 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	95%	95%	
Performance (D+1)	95.5%	97.3%	
Postal outlets (total)	557	556	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.72	2.7	
Share post offices	99.5%	98.6%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Com	petitive Services		
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: No answer
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Not required by law or verified in practice	Bulk parcels: No answer
Licence required within US area	General authorisation required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: No
VAT: USP services exempt			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	Inbound letters and large envelopes; inbound small packets; inbound parcels (UPU inward land rates);		
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: Unknown, no information		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information ABC remail: Unknown, no information		nformation
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes By NRA: Yes		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%): 19		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	161 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	4% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	96% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	2	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	3% (Revenue)	0.0% no data
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	97% (Revenue)	Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	329m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -2.8%
Outbound cross-border letter post	7.58m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -2.2% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	4.64m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: Substantial	KRO d.o.o. (98%) Maksmail d.o.o. Cetis d.o.o.
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Low	DHL TNT UPS Business Express
Domestic parcel & express (total)	80%	NRA: Intense USP: Substantial	Estimated USP market share:15-20%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Substantial	Posta Slovenije, DPD, GLS
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Posta Slovenije, DPD,GLS, TNT Express
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	71%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	DHL, DPD, GLS, TNT Express, UPS

C.3 Pošta Slovenije (2012)		
Legal status	plc	Revenue structure (2012)
State ownership	100%	Information
Total revenue	EUR 231m	Services Others
Share national revenue	94%	1%
EBIT margin total / mail	2.8% / n/a	Financial services
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	6,382 / 5,997	15% Mail 49%
Share civil servants (headcount)	n/a	Retail 17%
Share part-time	2.7%	CEP
Share wage costs on total costs	66%	11%



SPAIN

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework	
Ministry for postal policy	Minister of Foment
Ministry for ownership of PPO	Ministry of Finance and Public Administration (through the State Industrial Holdings Company)
National regulatory authority	Postal Sector's National Commission

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 7	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget:	Professional staff: 12	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes Limit: 400000		
NRA authority to order remedies			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		



B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	National Competition Co	National Competition Commission		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: Yes Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: No			
NCA decisions since 2009	 S/034/11 - Ass/Correos VATC/2458/03 - ASEMPRE/Correos S/037/11 - Unipost/Correos (on-going) 			
State aid cases				

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk advertisements	No	No	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	
Non-priority correspondence	No	No	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parce charge to addressee	els must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: No answer	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	pt for EU competition and cu	ustoms rules
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	UPU provisions apply exce	pt for EU competition and cu	ustoms rules
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:
Discrimination with respect to inbo	Discrimination with respect to inbound postal services of USP		
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp: 17.16	Net cost study: Yes	
USO financed from public funds	Yes		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	



B.7 Universal service indica			
Price trend	2010	2013	140 —
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.34	EUR 0.37	135
20g SSC, domestic			125
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.64	EUR 0.75	120
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 5.10	EUR 5.99	115 ————
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 36.43	EUR 39.69	105 100 2005 2006 —20g FSC
Quality of service	2010	2011	USP customer s
Domestic target (D+1)			2011: 83%
Performance (D+1)	69.6%	70.5%	
Postal outlets (total)	2,360	2,379	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	0.51	0.52	
Share post offices	100%	100%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels: Required by law but not verified in practice
Licence required within US area	Licence required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: No	Quality conditions: No	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: Yes
VAT: USP services exempt			
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?:		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: ABC remail:		
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		_

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP:	By NRA:	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	101 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	6	0.20%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	4,645m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -6.6%
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	n/a
Domestic parcel & express	296m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	

C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	97.1%	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Correos (91.9%) Unipost Zeleris Akropost	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Asendia DHL Global Mail Spring	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: 5-10%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Correos, Kiala / UPS, MRW	
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	MRW, DHL, Seur	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, FedEx, UPS	


C.3 Correos (2011)		
Legal status	plc	Revenue structure (2011)
State ownership	100%	Financial Others
Total revenue	EUR 2,137m	services 1%
Share national revenue	100%	2%
EBIT margin total / mail	0.1% / n/a	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	n/a / 60,770	
Share civil servants (headcount)	38%	Postal
Share part-time	n/a	services 97%
Share wage costs on total costs	73%	





SWEDEN

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	The Ministry of Enterprise	
Ministry for ownership of PPO	The Ministry of Finance	
National regulatory authority	The Swedish Post and Telecom Authority	



B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA				
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 10	Number: 10 Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff		
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 890718	Budget: 890718 Professional staff: 9		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes n/a			
NRA authority to order remedies	No			
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Other			
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Other			

B.3 National Competition Autority				
National competition authority	Swedish Competition Au	Swedish Competition Authority		
Primary authority for competition rules	National competition authority (NCA)			
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No Regular consult: Yes Coord agmt: Yes			
NCA decisions since 2009	NCA/Posten 2011/14 - Market Court, BringCityMail/Posten			
State aid cases				

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No	Yes	No
Bulk advertisements	No	Yes	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	Yes	No
Non-priority correspondence	No	Yes	No
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	No
Bulk parcels	No	Yes	No
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	Other	Delivery point: Other	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: Other	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU	Other		
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU	Other		
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs: Yes	Related to QoS: Yes	Transparent: No
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	iP .	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?	Unknown, no information		
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes: 2008 UPU old new target system; 2008 U Reims TDs; bilateral TD ag	PU transitional system;
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes: 2008 UPU old target system; 2008 UPU new target system; 2008 UPU transitional system; Reims TDs; bilateral TD agreements;	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		





B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 0.03	Volume (%): 0.03	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Yes	National USP appointed b	by legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%): 99.07	Designation (%): 90	Procurement (%): 0.03
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop):	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: Yes	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No	

B.7 Universal service indica	tors		
Price trend	2010	2013	125 ———
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.63	EUR 0.69	120
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.58	EUR 0.64	115
20g FSC, EU		EUR 1.39	110
5kg parcel, domestic		EUR 21.38	105
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)		EUR 54.33	100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 20g FSC 20g SSC 20g EU FSC CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	85%	85%	2010: 70%
Performance (D+1)	93.7%	94.8%	2011: 70% 2012: 71%
Postal outlets (total)	1,880	1,841	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.01	1.94	
Share post offices	16.5%	15.8%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services				
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: No answer	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Required by law and verified in practice	Direct mail: Required by law and verified in practice	Bulk parcels: No answer	
Licence required within US area	Other			
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: Yes	Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt				
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules	other;			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?: No	, ,		
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: Unknown, no information ABC remail: Unknown, no information			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			



B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Both postal and consumer protection laws apply to postal users.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Both NRA and NCPA enforce user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA: No answer	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		

C.1 Market size and demand	d (2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	294 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	7% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	93% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	8	0,2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post	2,768m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -3.2%
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	n/a
Domestic parcel & express	71.16m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	

C.2 Market structure and key market players				
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players	
Domestic letter post	99.6%	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Posten AB (92.9%) Bring CityMail AB Luleå Mail HB	
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: Low USP: Substantial	Posten AB	
Domestic parcel & express (total)	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Estimated USP market share: >30%	
B2C	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: Intense	Posten AB, DHL, Schenker	
B2B	n/a	NRA: Intense USP: Intense	Posten AB, Bring, Schenker	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: Substantial USP: n/a	Posten AB , Schenker, UPS	




C.3 PostNord / Posten (20	12)	
Legal status	plc	
State ownership	60% Subsidiary of PostNord AB (40% owned by Danish state)	Revenue structure (2012)
Total revenue	EUR 1,946m	Denmark
Share national revenue	n/a	21% Mail Sweden
EBIT margin total / mail	0.9% / 5.2%	services 38%
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	39,713 / n/a	7% CEP & Logistics
Share civil servants (headcount)	n/a	34%
Share part-time	n/a	
Share wage costs on total costs	47.6%	



SWITZERLAND

A. Country facts



Switzerland

B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Federal Office of Communications	
	Federal Department of the Environment, Transport, Energy and Communications and Federal Finance Administration	
National regulatory authority	Federal Postal Services Commission (PostCom)	

B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 7	Appointed by: Council of Ministers or Prime Minister	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 1998174 Professional staff: 6.5		
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes n/a		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Other; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition Autority			
National competition authority	Competition Commission		
Primary authority for competition rules	Other		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No	Regular consult: No	Coord agmt:
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases			



B.4 Scope of Universal Service				
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards	
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk letters	Yes	Yes	No	
Bulk advertisements	No	No answer	No answer	
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	Yes	Yes	No	
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Bulk parcels	Yes	Yes	No	
Delivery frequency for LP	5 days per week			
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	Delivery point: Parcels must be delivered without charge to addressee	

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA:	Second agency:	
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?		TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage			

B.6 Ensuring Universal Service				
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%): 5.2	Volume (%): 29.1		
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: Unknown, no information	National USP appointed by	legislation: Yes	
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 96.2	Procurement (%): 0	
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0.04	Parcels (% pop): 0.04		
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: Yes		
USO financed from public funds	No			
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: No	Established: No		



B.7 Universal service indica	itors		
Price trend	2010	2013	110
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.72	EUR 0.83	109
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.62	EUR 0.70	107
20g FSC, EU	EUR 1.01	EUR 1.16	106
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 6.52	EUR 7.44	104
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 32.60	EUR 37.22	102 101 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 20 200g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	97%	97%	2010: 75%
Performance (D+1)	97.2%	97.9%	2011: 79% 2012: 79%
Postal outlets (total)	2,313	2,254	1
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	2.97	2.83]
Share post offices	84.3%	77.7%]


B.8 Legal Treatment of Con	petitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters: Not required by law or verified in practice	Direct mail: No answer	Bulk parcels: Not required by law or verified in practice	
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters: Not required by law or verified in practice	Direct mail: No answer	Bulk parcels: Not required by law or verified in practice	
Licence required within US area	General authorisation requ service area	General authorisation required for provision of some services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No	
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: No	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: No	
VAT: USP services exempt				
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules				
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may USP accepts inbound mail from EU/EEA ETOEs?: No answer			
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail: No answer ABC remail: No answer			
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No			

B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes Outside US: Yes		
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: No answer By NRA: No answer		
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		



C.1 Market size and demand	(2011)	
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	454 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	12% (Volume)	0.6%
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	88% (Volume)	0.4%
Parcel & express items per capita	15	0.2%
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	88% (n/a)	0.1170
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	12% (n/a)	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express
	Volume	Main developments since 2007
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post		Average growth rate 2007-2011: -3.9%
Outbound cross-border letter post	69.8m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: -22.6% Note: (USP data)
Domestic parcel & express	118.28m	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	


C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Intense	Swiss Post (99.6%) Quickmail AG
Outbound cross-border letter post	99%	NRA: Moderate USP: Intense	Swiss Post (92.4%) DHL Global Mail (Switzerland) AG G3 Worldwide Mail AG
Domestic parcel & express (total)	97%	NRA: Moderate USP: Substantial	Estimated USP market share: 75-80%
B2C	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	Swiss Post
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Intense	DHL, DPD, UPS
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	94%	NRA: n/a USP: Substantial	DHL/Deutsche Post Global Mail, DPD, Swiss Post, UPS





UNITED KINGDOM

A. Country facts



B.1 Institutional Framework		
Ministry for postal policy	Department for Business, Innovation and Skills (BIS)	
Ministry for ownership of PPO		
National regulatory authority	The Office of Communications	



B.2 Organization and Authority of NRA			
Head(s) of NRA	Number: 9	Appointed by: Postal Minister or ministry staff	
NRA resources, 2012	Budget: 6216716	Professional staff:	
NRA authority to levy fines	Yes 10% of turnover		
NRA authority to order remedies	Yes		
NRA right to data from USP	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		
NRA right to data from non-USPs	Compliance data: Yes for all postal services; Statistics: Yes for all postal services		

B.3 National Competition	Autority		
National competition authority	Office of Fair Trading		
Primary authority for competition rules	Both NRA and NCA equally		
NRA/NCA cooperation	Share data: No	Regular consult: Yes	Coord agmt:
NCA decisions since 2009			
State aid cases	N508/2010 – Post Office Limited (POL) Continuation of Network Subsidy Payment and Working Capital Facility SA.31479 – UK - Royal Mail SA.33054 – Compensation for net costs incurred to keep a non-commercially viable network for the period 2012-15 and the continuation of a working capital facility		

B.4 Scope of Universal Service			
Service	Ensured	Price regulated	Service standards
Single piece letter post (FSC)	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk letters	No	No	No
Bulk advertisements	No	No	No
Newspapers, magazines, etc.	No	No	No
Non-priority correspondence	Yes	Yes	Yes
Single piece parcels	Yes	Yes	Yes
Bulk parcels	No	No	No
Delivery frequency for LP	6 days per week		
Delivery frequency for parcels	5 days per week	Delivery point: Parcels charge to addressee	must be delivered without

B.5 Cross-border Services			
Agency implementing Postal Directive	NRA: Second agency:		
EU v. UPU law, intra-EU			
EU v. UPU law, extra-EU			
Enforcement of Art. 13	Cost based TDs:	Related to QoS:	Transparent:
Discrimination with respect to inbo	ound postal services of US	P	
NRA prohibits discrimination between domestic mail and inbound intra-EU mail?			
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound mail from different EU mailers?		TD regimes:	
NRA prohibits discrimination between inbound intra-EU mail and inbound mail from other industrialized countries?	Unknown, no information	TD regimes:	
OverallI net gain/ loss due to TDs not aligned to domestic postage	No answer		



B.6 Ensuring Universal Service			
Households at risk under market forces	Pop (%):	Volume (%) :	
Choice between market forces, designation, and procurement	Study: No	National USP appointed by	/ legislation: Yes
Method of ensuring US	Mkt force (%):	Designation (%): 100	Procurement (%):
Households not receiving US	Letter post (% pop): 0	Parcels (% pop): 0	
Net cost of US0 in 2012	Pct USP exp:	Net cost study: No	
USO financed from public funds	No		
USO financed from compensation fund	Authorized: Yes	Established: No	

B.7 Universal service indica	itors		
Price trend	2010	2013	260
20g FSC, domestic	EUR 0.48	EUR 0.74	240
20g SSC, domestic	EUR 0.37	EUR 0.62	200
20g FSC, EU	EUR 0.70	EUR 1.07	180
5kg parcel, domestic	EUR 11.17	EUR 16.43	160 —
5kg parcel, cross-border (lowest tariff)	EUR 31.01	EUR 38.22	120 100 2005 2006 2007 2008 2009 2010 2011 2012 —20g FSC —20g SSC —20g EU FSC —CPI
Quality of service	2010	2012	USP customer satisfaction index
Domestic target (D+1)	93%	93%	2010: 89%
Performance (D+1)	91.4%	92.2%	2011: 85% 2012: 87%
Postal outlets (total)	11,905	11,818	
Postal outlets per 10,000 inhabitants	1.92	1.88	
Share post offices	3.1%	3.2%	

B.8 Legal Treatment of Competitive Services			
Special tariffs are transparent and non-discriminatory?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:
Special tariffs are available to other postal operators?	Bulk letters:	Direct mail:	Bulk parcels:
Licence required within US area	No authorisation required for provision of services with the universal service area		
Use of potentially restrictive authorisation conditions	Univ. servs: Yes	Quality conditions: Yes	Competence: No
Access to postal infrastructure by competitors	Addr. database: Yes	Post office boxes: No	Delivery boxes: No
VAT: USP services exempt	Single piece letters; single piece parcels; other;		
Customs: USP services subject to UPU customs rules			
ETOE restrictions	EU/EEA USPs s may establish ETOEs?:		from EU/EEA ETOEs?:
Intra-EU remail restrictions	ABA remail:	ABC remail:	
Labour law: special rules in postal sector	No		



B.9 Protections of Users			
Protection of users by law	Yes	Postal laws and/or regulations include user protection provisions.	
Implementing authority(ies)	Postal NRA enforces user protection provisions for postal services		
Users of private operators covered	Within US: Yes	Outside US: Yes	
Publication of annual statistics	By USP: Yes	By NRA:	
User complaints to USP reviewed, 2012	Percent of complaints (%):		

C.1 Market size and demand	l (2011)		
Letter post items per capita (EU-27 average)	266 (164)	Market value in % of GDP (2011)	
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	8% (Volume)	0.6%	
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	92% (Volume)	0.4%	
Parcel & express items per capita	25-30	0.2%	
Share C2X (revenue/volume)	n/a		
Share B2X (revenue/volume)	n/a	0.0% Letter post Parcel & express	
	Volume	Main developments since 2007	
Domestic and inbound cross-border letter post		Average growth rate 2007-2011: -6.4%	
Outbound cross-border letter post	486.68m	Average growth rate 2007-2011: 1.6% Note: (USP data)	
Domestic parcel & express	1,850m	Note: (WIK estimate 2012)	
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a		

C.2 Market structure and key market players			
	TOP3 Market share	Perceived competition	Key players
Domestic letter post	100%	NRA: Marginal USP: Marginal	Royal Mail (100%) DX Group TNT Post UK
Outbound cross-border letter post	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Low	n/a
Domestic parcel & express (total)	50%	NRA: Moderate USP: Moderate	Estimated USP market share:>20%
B2C	n/a	NRA: Moderate USP: Moderate	RoyalMail, Hermes, Yodel
B2B	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: Moderate	RoyalMail / Parcelforce Ltd., City Link, DPD UK, Interlink (Geopost)
Outbound cross-border parcel & express	n/a	NRA: n/a USP: n/a	DHL, Royal Mail Group



C.3 Royal Mail Group (FY	2011/12)	
Legal status	plc	Devenue etweeters (2011/12)
State ownership	100%	Revenue structure (2011/12)
Total revenue	EUR 10,983m	CEP (GLS) 17% Retail 7%
Share national revenue	84%	
EBIT margin total / mail	2.2% /0.3%	
Employment, total (headcount / FTE)	176,242 / n/a (2012)	Mail
Share civil servants (headcount)	0%	76%
Share part-time	n/a	
Share wage costs on total costs	62%	